

Lecture 23

Lectured by Prof. Caldwell and scribed by Sunil Kothari

November 13, 2008

1 Review

We looked at unification, substitutions, and various substitution operators. Remember two types are *unifiable* if there exists a substitution σ such that $\sigma t_1 = \sigma t_2$.

The terms are defined as:

```
data Term = V string | Abs String Term | Ap Term Term
```

The types are defined as:

```
Type = TyVar String | Arrow Type Type
```

The goal is a function `infer :: Term -> Type` where `infer t` returns the Type of term t (if there is one).

2 Type Inference

We can make it a Maybe type to handle cases when a term has no type.

```
data Maybe A = Just A | Nothing
```

We have a little proof system for the type inference.

But first lets introduce the various concepts

A sequent (a state in the proof) for the type inference $\Gamma \vdash x : t$ where,

1. Γ is called a context and is a list of `string × type` pairs; the context is what we know so far.
2. E is a constraint set and is a list of type `[(type, type)]`.
3. t is a term.
4. α is a type.

The constraints get synthesized from the proof by propagating back down whereas we construct the proof tree bottom up.

The rule for a type variable is:

$$\frac{}{\Gamma, \{\alpha = \tau\} \vdash x : \alpha} \text{ where } x : \tau \in \Gamma \quad (\text{Var})$$

Here's an example of Axiom rule:

So $[x, \alpha \rightarrow \alpha], \{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha = \tau\} \vdash x : \tau$.

$$\frac{\Gamma \setminus x \cup \{x : \alpha\} \vdash M : \beta}{\Gamma, E \cup \{\tau = \alpha \rightarrow \beta\} \vdash \lambda x.M : \tau} \text{ where } \alpha \text{ and } \beta \text{ are fresh} \quad (\text{Abs})$$

The notation means $\Gamma \setminus x$ - Remove pairs from Γ where x is the first element.

The rule for application is:

$$\frac{\Gamma, E_1 \vdash M : \alpha \rightarrow \tau \quad \Gamma, E_2 \vdash N : \alpha}{\Gamma, E_1 \cup E_2 \vdash MN : \tau} \text{ where } \alpha \text{ is fresh} \quad (\text{App})$$

So we can now do a proof

$$\frac{\frac{}{[x : \alpha], \beta = \alpha \vdash x : \beta} (\text{Var})}{[], \{\beta = \alpha, \tau = \alpha \rightarrow \alpha\} \vdash \lambda x.x : \tau} (\text{Abs})$$

Suppose we wanted to find the type of the term $(\lambda x.x) y$.

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{[y : \beta, x : \beta'], \{\tau = \beta'\} \vdash x : \tau} (\text{Var})}{[y : \beta], \{\tau = \beta', \alpha' \rightarrow \alpha = \beta' \rightarrow \tau\} \vdash \lambda x.x : \alpha' \rightarrow \alpha} (\text{Abs}) \quad \frac{}{[y : \beta], \{\alpha' = \beta\} \vdash y : \alpha'} (\text{Var})}{[y : \beta], \{\tau = \beta', \alpha' \rightarrow \alpha = \beta' \rightarrow \tau, \alpha' = \beta\} \vdash (\lambda x.x)y : \alpha} (\text{App})$$

The constraint set generated by collecting the constraints from the above proof tree is:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &= \beta' \\ \alpha' \rightarrow \alpha &= \beta' \rightarrow \tau \\ \alpha' &= \beta \end{aligned}$$

The unification algorithm gives the substitution

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \beta \\ \alpha' &= \beta \\ \tau &= \beta' \end{aligned}$$

This substitution when applied to the type we assumed earlier *i.e.* α gives β , which is what we expected.

Now we can type this all in Haskell. First, we start with the axiom rule.

We have a function `infer` which takes as argument, a context, a term, a type, and list of fresh variables generated so far. Again, the function is defined by case analysis on the term.

```
infer_type context trm typ vars =
  case trm of
    (V x) ->
      case (lookup x context) of
        (Just t1) -> [(typ,t1)],x:vars)
        Nothing -> error ("infer: " ++ x ++ "not in context.")
    (App m n) -> []
    (Abs x m) -> []
```

Recall that `lookup` has the following type:

```
*Type_inference> :t lookup
lookup :: (Eq a) => a -> [(a, b)] -> Maybe b
```

We can test our code now (even though the code for application and abstraction returns just an empty list):

```
*Type_inference> infer_type [("x",Arrow (TyVar "a") (TyVar "a"))] (V "x") (TyVar "a") []
[(a,(a -> a)),[]]
*Type_inference> infer_type [("x",Arrow (TyVar "a") (TyVar "a"))] (V "x") (TyVar "b") []
[(b,(a -> a)),[]]
```

We can now fill up the code for abstraction and application as follows:

```
(Ap t1 t2) ->
  let a = fresh "a" ((vars_of context) ++ vars ++ (fv typ)) in
  let (e1,vars1) = infer_type context t1 (Arrow (TyVar a) typ) (a:vars) in
  let (e2,vars2) = infer_type context t2 (TyVar a) (vars1 ++ vars) in
  (e1 ++ e2, vars1 ++ vars2)

(Abs x t1) ->
  let vars1 = ((vars_of context) ++ vars ++ (fv typ)) in
  let a = fresh "a" vars1 in
  let b = fresh "b" (a:vars1) in
  let vars2 = a:b:vars1 in
  let (e1,vars') = infer_type ((x, TyVar a):context) t1 (TyVar b) vars2 in
  ((typ, Arrow (TyVar a) (TyVar b)):e1, vars' ++ vars2)
```

We will create a helper function *infer* to pass the arguments which remains more or less the same each time.

```
infer context trm =
  let (e,_) = infer_type context trm (fresh "a" (fvars context)) []
```

```

in subst (unify e) trm
  where fvars [] = []
        fvars ((x,t):xts) = (fv t) ++ fvars xts

```

Now we can test our code:

```

*Type_inference> :t infer
infer :: [(String, Type)] -> Term -> ([[Char], Type], [a])

infer [("y", TyVar "b")] (Ap (Abs "x" (V "x")) (V "y"))
aaaa
*Type_inference> infer_type [("y", TyVar "b")] (Ap (Abs "x" (V "x")) (V "y")) (TyVar "a") []
([(b,aaaa),((aa -> a),(aaaa -> b)),(aa,b)],["aaaa","b","aa","y","aa","a"])
*Type_inference> let (e,_) = infer_type [("y", TyVar "b")] (Ap (Abs "x" (V "x")) (V "y"))
  (TyVar "a") [] in unify e
[a := aaaa,aa := aaaa,b := aaaa]
*Type_inference> let (e,_) = infer_type [("y", TyVar "b")] (Ap (Abs "x" (V "x")) (V "y"))
  (TyVar "a") [] in unify [ head e]
[b := aaaa]
*Type_inference> let (e,_) = infer_type [("y", TyVar "b")] (Ap (Abs "x" (V "x")) (V "y"))
  (TyVar "a") []
  in map2 (subst (unify [ head e])) (tail e)
[b := aaaa]
*Type_inference> infer_type [("y", TyVar "b")] (Ap (Abs "x" (V "x")) (V "y")) (TyVar "a") []
([(b,aaaa),((aa -> a),(aaaa -> b)),(aa,b)],["aaaa","b","aa","y","aa","a"])

```