COSC 3015: Lecture 13

Lecture given by Prof. Caldwell and scribed by Sunil Kothari

October 7, 2008

1 Trees

Chapter 5 has some really good examples. But, we will move on. And we may move to Higher-Order Perl book. We will start with Chapter 6 on trees. Today's lecture is a sort of review, since many of the things discussed here are in 2300. A binary tree is defined as:

data Btree $a = Leaf \ a \mid Fork \ (Btree \ a) \ (Btree \ a)$

So, here's an example of a tree:

```
Fork (Leaf 1)
    (Fork (Leaf 2)
        (Leaf 3))
Main> :t Leaf
Leaf :: a -> Btree a
```

You get case statement for free when you create a datatype. For example, consider the size function

```
size t =
   case t of
   (Leaf _) -> 1
    (Fork xt yt) -> size xt + size yt
```

```
Main> :t size
size :: Num a => Btree b -> a
Main>
```

So, case is like a destructor for the datatype. What's the other way of writing it ?

```
size1 (Leaf _) = 1
size1 (Fork xt yt) = size1 xt + size1 yt
Main> :t size1
size1 :: Num a => Btree b -> a
```

Whenever you have a datatype, you also get a structural induction principle. The induction principle for Btree is

 $\forall x : a.P(\text{Leaf } x) \land (\forall xt, yt : (Btree \ a).P(xt) \land P(yt) \Rightarrow P(Fork \ xt \ yt)) \\ \Rightarrow \forall t : (Btree \ a).P(t)$

- 1. $\forall x : a.P(\text{Leaf}x) \text{ [base case]}$
- 2. $\forall xt, yt : (Btree \ a).P(xt) \land P(yt) \Rightarrow P(Fork \ xt \ yt)$ [induction case]

We also have extra case for partial elements of the type

• $P(\perp)$

Definition 1. A Btree is finite if and only if $size(t) \neq \bot$.

The book says that any finite path through a syntax tree of a recursive datatype is linear. For example

Similar is the case with lists.

So, let's look at different trees - something used in 2300.

 $data \ TTree \ a = \text{Leaf} \mid Node2 \ a \ (TTree \ a) \ (TTree \ a)$

Or we can also have a tree with three sub-trees.

data $TTTree \ a = \text{Leaf} \mid Node3 \ a \ (TTTree \ a) \ (TTTree \ a) \ (TTTree \ a)$

Q: Can we make circular structures ? A: No, we can't. But, we can define Nat as a form of DAG (directed acyclic graph)

$$dataNat' = Zero \mid SNat' \mid SSNat'$$

where, $SS \ k = S \ (S \ k)$.

So, what about the (finite) induction principle for *TTTree a*.

- 1. P(Leaf) [base case]
- 2. $\forall x : a, \forall xt, yt, zt : (Btree a). P(xt) \land P(yt) \land P(zt) \Rightarrow P(Node3 \ x \ xt \ yt \ zt)$ [induction case]

If we want infinite induction, we ought to have $P(\perp)$ too. Let's define *flatten*.

flatten:: Btree a -> [a]
flatten (Leaf x) = [x]
flatten (Fork t t') = flatten t ++ flatten t'

 $\begin{array}{ll} flatten \; (Node \; (Leaf \; 1) \; (Node \; (Leaf \; 2) \; (Leaf \; 3))) \\ = \; flatten(Leaf1) + + flatten(Fork(Leaf2)(Leaf3)) \\ = \; [1] + + (flatten(Leaf2) + + flatten(Leaf3)) \\ = \; [1] + + ([2] + + [3]) \\ = \; [1, 2, 3] \end{array}$

Theorem 1. size = (length.flatten)

Proof. By extensionality we must show $\forall t : Btree \ a, (size \ t) = (length.flatten) \ t$. Continue by structural induction on t. There are two cases:

P(leaf) We must show

$$\forall x: a. P(leaf x).$$

i.e. that $\forall x : a.size(leaf x) = (length.flatten)(leaf x)$ Choose arb. $x \in a$ and show

$$size(leaf x) = (length.flatten)(leaf x)$$

On the left:

size (leaf
$$x$$
) = 1

On the right:

$$(length.flatten)(leaf x) = length (flatten (leaf x))$$

= length [x]
= 1

 $P(Fork \ xs \ ys)$: Assume P(xs) and P(ys) and show $P(Fork \ xs \ ys)$:

P(xs): size xs = (length.flatten xs)P(ys): size ys = (length.flatten ys)

Show

 $size(fork \ xs \ ys) = length.flatten(fork \ xs \ ys)$

On the left side

size(fork xs ys) = size xs + size ys= (length.flatten xs) + (length.flatten ys) = (length (flatten xs)) + (length (flatten ys))

On the right

$$\begin{array}{rcl} length.flatten \, (fork \, xs \, ys) & \stackrel{\text{compose}}{=} & length(flatten \, (fork \, xs \, ys)) \\ & \stackrel{\text{flatten}}{=} & length(flatten \, xs++flatten \, ys) \\ & \stackrel{\text{length-append}}{=} & (length \, (flatten \, xs)) + (length \, (flatten \, ys)) \end{array}$$

Note that "." is a function composition operator.

Lemma 1 (Length-Append). $\forall xs, ys : [a]. | xs + +ys |=| xs | + | ys |$ We can define a function *nodes* as:

nodes (Leaf _) = 0
nodes (Fork xs ys) = 1 + nodes xs + nodes ys

Then, we can prove a theorem

Theorem 2. $\forall xt : Btree \ a.size \ xt = 1 + nodes \ xt$

Proof. By ind. on xt. $P(xt) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{size } xt = 1 + \text{nodes } xt$ Again, we have two cases: **Case P(leaf x).** size (Leaf x) = 1 + nodes (Leaf x) L.H.S size (Leaf x) = 1 R.H.S. 1+ nodes (Leaf x) = 1 + 0 = 1 so the base case holds.

Case P(Fork xs ys). Assume

size xs = 1+ nodes xssize ys = 1 + nodes ysShow size (Fork xs ys) = 1 + nodes xs ysL.H.S = size (Fork xs ys) = 1 + nodes xs + 1 + nodes ysR.H.S 1 + nodes(Fork xs ys) = 1 + 1 + nodes xs + nodes ys