

COSC 3015: Lecture 10

Lecture given by Prof. Caldwell and scribed by Sunil Kothari

September 25, 2008

1 HW recap

unique_stable preserves the order and keeps the earlier elements whereas *unique* keeps the last occurrences of elements.

2 More functions

2.1 member

$$\begin{aligned} \text{member1} :: a &\rightarrow [a] \rightarrow \text{Bool} \\ \text{member1 } y [] &= \text{False} \\ \text{member1 } y (x : xs) &= y == x \mid\mid \text{member1 } y xs \end{aligned}$$

The "||" has short-circuit evaluation. i.e. if the first argument evaluates to true then it doesn't check for the value of second argument.

We can also write member as.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{member}' y [] &= \text{False} \\ \text{member}' y (x : xs) &= y == x \mid\mid \text{member}' y xs \end{aligned}$$

member' evaluates as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{member}' 1 [1, 1] &\rightsquigarrow \text{member}' 1 [1] \mid\mid 1 == 1 \\ &\rightsquigarrow \text{member}' 1 [] \mid\mid 1 == 1 \mid\mid 1 == 1 \\ &\rightsquigarrow \text{False} \mid\mid \text{True} \mid\mid \text{True} \\ &\rightsquigarrow \text{True} \mid\mid \text{True} \\ &\rightsquigarrow \text{True} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, *member1* evaluates as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{member1 } 1 [1,1] &\rightsquigarrow 1 == 1 || \text{member1 } 1 [1] \\ &\rightsquigarrow \text{True} || \text{member1 } 1 [1] \\ &\rightsquigarrow \text{True} \end{aligned}$$

member is *elem* in the Haskell prelude *elem x m* is written '*elem*' *m* to simulate $x \in m$

2.2 zip

The zip (in our case zip1) function is defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{zip1 } [] m &= [] \\ \text{zip1 } m [] &= [] \\ \text{zip1 } (x : xs) (y : ys) &= (x, y) : \text{zip1 } xs ys \end{aligned}$$

and has the type

`zip1 :: [a] -> [b] -> [(a,b)]`

What is the design decision for zip1 ? For example, `zip1 [1,2,3] [a,b] = [(1,a), (2,b)]`

Let's do a shorter one. `zip1 [3] [] -> []`

Note: The zip in the theorem below refers to the Haskell prelude's zip.

Theorem 1. $\forall m : [a], \forall n : [b]. \text{length } (\text{zip } m n) == \min (\text{length } m)(\text{length } n)$

Theorem 2. $\forall m : [a], \forall n : [b]. \text{length } (\text{zip}' m n) == \max (\text{length } m)(\text{length } n)$

Since the arguments to *zip1* are lists of arbitrary types, what will you do when you run out of values.

1. Pass a special value for type a and type b. These special values must be unique.
2. Define a value called *Nothing*.

Consider the *Maybe* data type:

`data Maybe a = Just a | Nothing`

Nothing is like a special value that means null - but works for any type.

So let's define *zip1* now:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{zip1} [] [] &= [] \\ \text{zip1} [] (x : xs) &= (\text{Nothing}, x) : \text{zip1} [] xs \\ \text{zip1} (x : xs) [] &= (x, \text{Nothing}) : \text{zip1} xs [] \\ \text{zip1} (x : xs) (y : ys) &= (x, y) : \text{zip1} xs ys \end{aligned}$$

```
Main> :t zip1
zip1 :: [Maybe a] -> [Maybe b] -> [(Maybe a, Maybe b)]
```

But if we define *zip1* slightly differently as:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{zip1} [] [] &= [] \\ \text{zip1} [] (x : xs) &= (\text{Nothing}, \text{Just } x) : \text{zip1} [] xs \\ \text{zip1} (x : xs) [] &= (\text{Just } x, \text{Nothing}) : \text{zip1} xs [] \\ \text{zip1} (x : xs) (y : ys) &= (\text{Just } x, \text{Just } y) : \text{zip1} xs ys \end{aligned}$$

```
Main> :t zip1
zip1 :: [a] -> [b] -> [(Maybe a, Maybe b)]
```

$$\begin{aligned} \text{zip1} [] [1] &\rightsquigarrow (\text{Nothing}, \text{Just } 1) : \text{Zip1} [] [] \\ &\rightsquigarrow (\text{Nothing}, \text{Just } 1) : [] \\ &\rightsquigarrow [(\text{Nothing}, \text{Just } 1)] \end{aligned}$$

We can use type class to give the following expressions a meaningful semantics:

Just 5 + 7

Nothing + 7

But we can also use *case* statement

```
case m of
  Nothing -> error "..."/>
  Just k -> k
```

But this definition has an error.

2.3 Either

The data type *Either* can be defined as: *data Either a b = Left a | Right b deriving Show*

The either type is also called disjoint union

```
> Left 5
Left 5 :: Num a => Either a b
```

```
> :t Right "xyxy"
Either a [char]
```

It helps us put together two different types. The constructors are like tags on data values -

Either Int [char] - Taking the union of Int and [char] So what are the inhabitants of this type ?

$\{Left\ 0, Left\ -1, Right\ "a", Right\ "ab", \dots\}$

We can deconstruct the disjoint union by the case statement.

```
case m of
  left x -> ...x...
  right y -> ...y...
```

where,

x - an integer

y - string

```
case (Left 5) of
  Left x -> x + 1
  Right y -> length y
```

would evaluate to 6.

What about the following expression ?

```
case (Left 5) of
  Right y -> length y
  Left x -> x + 1
```

would evaluate to 6.

2.4 filter

In Haskell, *filter* is defined as:

```
Hugs> :t filter
filter :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> [a]
```

And so we can define our *filter1* is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} filter1\ p\ [] &= [] \\ filter1\ p\ (x : xs) &= \text{if } p\ x \text{ then } x : filter\ p\ xs \text{ else } filter\ p\ xs \end{aligned}$$

```
filter1(/= 5) [1,5,2,5,3,4,5,5]
[1,2,3,4]
```

where $(/= 5) \setminus x \rightarrow x + 1$.

Recall, in HW we have *remove_all*:

remove_all $x m = filter (/ = x) m$

can also be written as :

remove_all $x = filter (/ = x)$

```
Main> :t remove_all
remove_all :: Eq a => a -> [a] -> [a]
```

What about *filter* $(== (+)) [(+), (*), (-)]$?

Compiler will give an error since functions cannot be compared.

2.5 Finite functions as lists of pairs

$[(1, "xy"), (1, "zw")]$ - It is not a function since one domain element is getting mapped to different range values.

But, $[(1, "xy"), (1, "xy")]$ is functional - since the element in domain are mapped to the same values in the co-domain.

What does it mean for two sets to be equal ?

$S = T \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \forall x. x \in S \leftrightarrow x \in T$

So, what's the difference between a list and a set ?

As lists, $[1, 1] \neq [1]$

As sets, $\{1, 1\} = \{1\}$

Also,

As lists, $[1, 2] \neq [2, 1]$

As sets, $\{1, 2\} = \{2, 1\}$

So for lists order and multiplicity of elements is significant.

For sets- the only significant factor is membership.

2.6 Implementing sets as lists

Sets are defined as:

```
data Set a = S [a]
```

```
Main> :t S []
```

```
S [] :: Set a
```

```
Main> :t S
```

```
S :: [a] -> Set a
```

```
Main> :t S [1,2,3,4]
```

```
S [1,2,3,4] :: Num a => Set a
```

What if we change the datatype to

data Set a = S [a] deriving Eq

```
Main> :t S [] == S []
S [] == S [] :: Eq (Set a) => Bool

seteq [] [] = True
seteq [] (x:xs) = False
seteq (x:xs) [] = False
seteq (x:xs) m = x `elem` m && seteq (remove_all x xs) (remove_all x m)

instance Eq a => Eq (Set a) where
  m == n = seteq m n

Main> S [] == S [1]
False
Main> S [1,1,2] == S [2,2,2,1]
False
```

$$\begin{aligned} \text{map } f [] &= [] \\ \text{map } f (x : xs) &= f x : \text{map } f xs \end{aligned}$$

and *domain* can be defined as:

$$\text{domain } f = \text{map } \text{fst } f$$